Particles and interfaces

G.-H. Cottet Laboratoire Jean Kuntzmann, Grenoble

Outline:

-Remeshed Particle Methods and High Order Finite Differences -Two-way interaction of rigid solid and incompressible flow Rule of the game:

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div} \left[G(U)U \right] = F(U)$$

$$U(x) \approx \sum_{p} v_{p} U_{p} \delta(x - x_{p})$$

$$\frac{dx_p}{dt} = G(U_p) \ ; \ \frac{dU_p}{dt} = F(U_p) \ ; \ \frac{dv_p}{dt} = (\operatorname{div} G)(U_p)$$

Particle methods:

Typical and simple example showing importance of regridding to maintain acuracy: circular patch with high strain



For this particular example other techniques can avoid remeshing but for 3D flows, remeshing cannot be avoided to obtain reliable results

Remeshing can also be used to

Take advantage of underlying grid to compute non advection-related terms (fields, pressure gradients, surface tension ..)
Provide natural coupling techniques in particle/particle or particle/grid domain decomposition methods
Impose variable resolution where needed (multilevel particle methods)

Question: what remains of the Lagrangian features of a particle method after remeshing? Regridding can be used as a way to adapt particles to the flow topology -> variable-size particles

three different approaches:

Regridding into variable-sized particles via global mappings
Regridding via local mappings

•Regridding onto piecewise uniform particles



Mapped space



Example: rebound of a dipole with exponentially stretched particle distribution (C-Koumoutsakos-OuldSalihi, JCP 00)

Variable-size particles can be used in an adaptive fashion

Two possible strategies (Bergdorf, C., Koumoutsakos, SIAM MMS):

•Adaptively build global mappings

•Adaptively define zones with piecewise constant volumes (AMR approach)

Second approach: particles have piecewise constant volumes

Inside each population, particles dynamics is straightforward Remeshing allows to transfer information between populations through a buffer zone:



Illustration: case of an elliptical vortex



Adaptation based on vorticity gradients Fine and coarse zone in the AMR approach defined using a package designed for FD





Block definition strategy can be simplified using wavelet-based multiresolution flow analysis (Bergdorf-Koumoutsakos)

Remeshing particles at each time-step deserves direct FD-type analysis

Toy problem: $u_t + au_x = 0$, a > 0

Focus on remeshing formula conserving 3 first moments (Λ_2 formula):



If $\Delta t < a \Delta x/2$: $u_p^{n+1} = c_0 u_p^n + c_{+1} u_{p+1}^n + c_{-1} u_{p-1}^n$

Conservation of 3 first moments gives

$$c_{-1} + c_0 + c_{+1} = 1$$
, $c_{-1} - c_{+1} = \lambda$, $c_{-1} + c_{+1} = \lambda^2$

Where $\lambda = a\Delta t / \Delta x$

$$c_0 = 1 - \lambda^2, c_{\pm 1} = \mp \lambda (1 \mp \lambda)/2$$

This is Lax-Wendroff If $1>\lambda>1/2$, upwind version of Lax-Wendroff If $\lambda>1$, combination of L-W and exact solution

Higher order conservation gives finite-difference method of corresponding order (C., Weynans, CRAS 2006)

Case of non-linear problems: $u_t + (g(u)u)_x = 0$

Need some care to define second order particle time-stepper

Idea: evaluate particle velocities at time $t_n + \Delta t/2$ using following equation

$$\frac{du}{dt} + (g(u))_x u = 0$$

Particle method goes along these lines: First compute

$$u_p^{n+1/2} = u_p^n \left(1 - \Delta t g(u^n)_x(x_p)/2 \right)$$

Where $g(u^n)_x(x_p)$ computed by centered f.d. on the grid then advance particles from t_n to t_{n+1} with velocity $\tilde{g}_n^n = g(u_n^{n+1/2})$ Finite-difference interpretation:

$$\begin{split} u_p^{n+1} &= u_p^n - \frac{\Delta t}{2h} (\tilde{g}_{p+1}^n u_{p+1}^n - \tilde{g}_{p-1}^n u_{p-1}^n) + \\ & \frac{\Delta t^2}{2h^2} \left((\tilde{g}_{p+1}^n)^2 u_{p+1}^n - 2 (\tilde{g}_p^n)^2 u_p^n + (\tilde{g}_{p-1}^n)^2 u_{p-1}^n \right) \end{split}$$

Can prove that scheme is second order and equivalent equation given by

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + (g(u)u)_x + \\ h^2 \left[\frac{1}{6} (g(u)u)_{xxx} + \frac{\mu^2}{6} u_{ttt} + \frac{\mu^2}{8} (g(u)_x^2 u^3 g''(u))_x + \frac{\mu^2}{2} (g(u)g'(u)u^2 g(u)_x)_{xx} \right] &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

More: can prove that if supplemented by appropriate von-neumann artificial viscosity, particle scheme is energy decreasing

Remarks:

- •Same analysis applies to other remeshing formulas with expected truncation error
- •Because of fd needed to evaluate velocities at $t_{n+1/2}$, seems to be a 5-points scheme

In fact: in d dimensions, with field G(u), need to evaluate the divergence of G: Cost is $O(2d+3^d) \leftrightarrow O(5^d)$

Even better: for hydro codes: U= $(\rho U)/\rho$ and, to leading order,

$$U_p^{n+1/2} = \frac{(\rho U)_p^n \left(1 - \Delta t \operatorname{div} G_p^n / 2\right)}{\rho_p^n \left(1 - \Delta t \operatorname{div} G_p^n / 2\right)} = \frac{(\rho U)_p^n}{\rho_p^n}$$

(Euler scheme)

Analysis does not reveal specific features of particle methods:

- Convergence to entropy solutions
- •Spectral profile of sub-grid dissipation

More needs to be done to understand optimal artificial viscosity

Comparison of equivalent equations for Lax-Wendroff and remeshed particle methods with Λ_2 and Λ_3 remeshing: Energy dissipation predicted for Burger equation (g(u)=u/2, $\mu = \Delta t / \Delta x$)

Lax-Wendroff:
$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u\|^2 = \Delta x^2 \int \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4} |\mu u|^2\right) u_x^3 dx$$

Particle method with Λ_2 remeshing: $\frac{d}{dt} \|u\|^2 = \Delta x^2 \int \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{16} |\mu u|^2\right) u_x^3 dx$

However schemes behavior notably different: LW is not entropic, PM is ..

Burgers equation with IC = top-hat function





Blue=LW, red=PM with Λ_2 , black=exact

PM with Λ_2 and artificial viscosity at 2 successive times

Example of particle method for interface problem: fluid interacting with rigid body (with Coquerelle & Cani, 2006)

Two approaches:

 solve separately fluid and solid and impose continuity
 Consider system as single flow with variable density and Constitutive laws

Second approach more numerically efficient

Idea: formulate problem as a penalization model :

$$\rho \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}(u : u) \right) + \nabla p = \mu \Delta u + \rho g + \lambda \chi_{S}(\overline{u} - u)$$

where \overline{u} is the rigid displacement obtained by averaging velocities over S and $\lambda >> 1$.

Curl of the equation gives:

$$\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}(u\omega) - (\omega.\nabla)u = \mu\Delta\omega + \nabla \times (\rho g) + \lambda\chi_{S}(\overline{\omega} - \omega) + \lambda\delta_{\mathfrak{S}}n \times (\overline{u} - u)$$
$$\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}(\chi u) = 0, \ \rho = \rho(\chi), \ \overline{u} = \frac{\int u\chi \, dx}{\int \chi \, dx} + \frac{\int (r \times u)\chi \, dx}{\int r^{2}\chi \, dx} \times r$$

Two vortex generators on the interface. Surface term $\delta,\,\rho$ and χ recovered through a renormalized level set method.

QuickTime™ et un décompresseur sont requis pour visionner cette image. QuickTime™ et un décompresseur sont requis pour visionner cette image.



QuickTime™ et un décompresseur sont requis pour visionner cette image. QuickTime™ et un décompresseur sont requis pour visionner cette image.

Tumbling of spheres

QuickTime™ et un décompresseur sont requis pour visionner cette image.



Validation against ALE methods and CPU times

Sequence	Grid Resolution	Duration	Time	CPU Time
			Steps	/ Step
Cup 1	$100\times100\times100$	10 s	1300	8.60 s
Cup 2	$64 \times 64 \times 64$	10 s	1000	2.44 s
Spheres	68 imes 24 imes 292	4 s	400	5.96 s
Pyramid	$80\times80\times80$	3 s	600	12.4 s

Factor 10 speed-up over "conventional methods"

Other example of two-way fluid structure interaction: flapping and self locomotion



QuickTime™ et un décompresseur sont requis pour visionner cette image



Re=10

QuickTime™ et un décompresseur sont requis pour visionner cette image. QuickTime™ et un décompresseur sont requis pour visionner cette image.

