

Piezoelectric Networking for Structural Vibration Control

K. W. Wang Diefenderfer Chaired Professor in Mechanical Engineering Structural Dynamics and Controls Lab The Pennsylvania State University University Park, PA 16802 USA



Mechanically Respond to Electrical Inputs

E.

and Electrically Respond to Mechanical Input

 $-h_{31}$

Apply electric field

- τ : **stress**
- ε : strain
- β_{33} : dielectric const.
- h₃₁ : piezo coefficient

- D : electrical displacement
- E : electrical field
- E_s: elastic modulus

Deform material

Background

Piezoelectric Materials for Structural Control

Good bandwidth and authority

PENNSTATE

- Integration with host structures to form smart structures with distributed actions
- Combined sensor and actuator functions -- Self-sensing and collocated control











Piezoelectric Materials for Structural Control

- FAQ & Challenges
 - Higher authority and efficiency?
 - Better controllability and precision?
 - Fail-safe property can we limp home?
 - → Piezoelectric tailoring for better combination of actions
 - > Electrical tailoring
 - > Mechanical tailoring



 Hybrid Damping and Control

- Adaptable Narrowband
 Disturbance Rejection
- Vibration Delocalization of Nearly Periodic Structures



Structural Dynamics and Controls Lab

Open Loop Experiments

Shunt circuit together with active source will introduce passive damping (tuned damper effect) as well as enhance active authority (resonant driver effect)

 Y_1 (passive damping index) = vibration amplitude / disturbance force

 Y_2 (active authority index) = vibration amplitude / control voltage

PENNSTATE



Active-Passive Hybrid Piezo Networks (APPN)

Observation

PENNSTATE

 Passive circuit could enhance passive damping and active authority in APPN - integrated design is better than separated design

Issues

 Circuit parameters tuned for purely passive systems might not be optimal for the hybrid configuration

Need a simultaneous controller and circuit synthesis process





Sensor Equation: $\underline{V}_o = K_{ob}\underline{q}$ State-Space Formulation: $\underline{\dot{y}} = A(R_i, L_i)\underline{y} + B(R_i, L_i)\underline{u}$

State matrix and input matrix are dependent functions of the passive control parameters



Coupled Optimal Control-Optimization Process

- Given passive R and L, determine active gains via optimal control: minimize objective function Jreflecting vibration reduction and control effort
- Modify R and L via optimization scheme to further reduce J while updating active gain: search for optimum among optimum

Optimal RL Values vs. Performance Weighting



PENNSTATE

No.

Optimal *RL* values for the hybrid system can be quite different from the *RL* values optimized for the purely passive system as the demand on performance increases

Concurrent method is useful for hybrid system



| | σ_w (mm) | σ_{VI} (volts) | σ_{V2} (volts) | $\sigma_{V3}(volts)$ |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Uncontrolled | 10.23 | - | - | - |
| Purely Active Case | 1.08 | 379 | 244 | 244 |
| Active-Passive Hybrid Case | 0.67 | 79.3 | 61.8 | 97.4 |



Some Research Highlights

- Hybrid Damping and Control
- Adaptable Narrowband
 Disturbance Rejection
- Vibration Delocalization of Nearly Periodic Structures



Active-Passive Absorption/ Isolation

A High Performance Active-Passive Hybrid Approach

PENNSTATE

- Based on the concept of absorber dynamics
- Tune passive inductor to nominal frequency
- Use active feedback for frequency tracking
 - Active variable inductor
- Use active feedback to increase performance and robustness
 - Negative resistance
 - Active coupling enhancement



PENNSTATE

Active Inductance and Resistance

• General System Model:

$$M \frac{\ddot{q}}{d} + C \frac{\dot{q}}{d} + K^{D} \frac{q}{d} + K_{C} Q = \frac{\hat{F}}{E} \cdot f(t)$$
$$L_{p} \frac{\ddot{Q}}{d} + R_{p} \frac{\dot{Q}}{d} + \frac{l}{C_{p}^{S}} Q + K_{C}^{T} \frac{q}{d} = V_{C}$$

Active Inductor Control Law

$$\left(V_C\right)_{inductor} = -L_a(t) \cdot \ddot{Q}$$

- Want to simulate an ideal variable inductor (no internal resistance)
 - Add negative resistance action to reduce overall resistance

 $\left(V_{C}\right)_{resistor} = R_{a}\dot{Q}$

K^D = open-circuit stiffness matrix

$$K_C$$
 = coupling vector

 L_p = passive inductance

$$\dot{R_p}$$
 = internal resistance of passive inductor

$$C_p^{S}$$
 = capacitance of PZT at constant strain

$$V_C$$
 = control voltage

Implement through a PI control on voltage across passive inductor

$$V_{C}(t) = -\frac{L_{a}(t)}{L_{p}}V_{L}(t) + \frac{R_{a}}{L_{p}}\int_{0}^{t}V_{L}(t)dt$$

PENNSTATE Active Coupling Enhancement

Effective bandwidth of absorber is related to electromechanical coupling

higher coupling = higher performance, more robustness

$$M \frac{\ddot{q}}{\underline{q}} + C \underline{\dot{q}} + K^{D} \underline{q} + K_{C} Q = \underline{\hat{F}} \cdot f(t)$$
$$L_{p} \ddot{Q} + R_{p} \dot{Q} + \frac{1}{C_{p}^{S}} Q + K_{C}^{T} \underline{q} = V_{C}$$

Can't change K_c for a given system Can increase <u>effective coupling</u> in circuit equation

$$V_C = -(G_{ac} - 1)K_C^T q , \quad G_{ac} \ge 1$$

Active Coupling Control Law

Closed-Loop Circuit Equation:

$$\left(L_{p} + L_{a}(t)\right)\ddot{Q} + \left(R_{p} - R_{a}\right)\dot{Q} + \frac{1}{C_{p}^{S}}Q + G_{ac}K_{C}^{T}\underline{q} = 0$$



- Active coupling and negative resistance increase both performance and robustness
- Active inductance tracks the operating frequency

PENNSTATE Stability Analysis of Adaptive Absorber

- Use Lyapunov's method to find stability criteria for adaptive (time-varying) absorber
- System Equations in matrix form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} M & 0 \\ 0 & G_{ac}L_{t}(t) \end{bmatrix} \ddot{z} + \begin{bmatrix} C & 0 \\ 0 & G_{ac}R_{t} \end{bmatrix} \dot{z} + \begin{bmatrix} K^{D} & G_{ac}K_{C} \\ G_{ac}K_{C}^{T} & \frac{G_{ac}}{C_{p}^{S}} \end{bmatrix} z = \begin{cases} 0 \\ 0 \end{cases} \text{ where } z = \begin{cases} q \\ \tilde{Q} \end{cases}$$

$$\tilde{Q} = \frac{1}{G_{ac}}Q$$

• Lyapunov Function Candidate:

$$V(z) = \frac{1}{2} z^T \overline{K} z + \frac{1}{2} \dot{z}^T \overline{M} \dot{z}$$

Physical meaning: Total energy of system

PENNSTATE Stability Analysis of Adaptive Absorber

- Condition for V(z) to be a valid Lyapunov Function:
 - For SDOF system, reduces to $G_{ac} < 1 + \frac{1}{K^2}$

 $\left|K^{D} - G_{ac}C_{p}^{S}K_{C}K_{C}^{T}\right| > 0$

• With $\dot{V}(z) \le 0$ and use Invariance Principle, derive sufficient condition for stability:

$$[\bar{C} - \dot{\bar{M}}/2] > 0 \implies (-R_t C_p^S \delta^2 \omega^3 < \dot{\omega})$$

Bound on frequency
 reduction rate for given resistance

Bound on

coupling

gain

Closed-loop system is stable if coupling gain is not too large and frequency is not decreasing very rapidly



Accelerometer on foundation

Piezo stack actuator and sensor

passive piezoelectric

absorber



Vibration transmissibility is greatly reduced (80% reduction) with active-passive piezoelectric absorber/isolator

Smart Airframe



PENNSTATE

- Traditional active airframe vibration control -centralized actuator configuration
 - Not the best distribution
 - Large effort required heavy hydraulic actuators
- New smart structure configuration -- distributed actuation "built-in" throughout the airframe
 - Optimal location of actuators for various types of disturbance
 - Better performance with less control effort



Structural Dynamics and Controls Lab









Some Research Highlights

- Hybrid Damping and Control
- Adaptable Narrowband
 Disturbance Rejection
- Vibration Delocalization of Nearly Periodic Structures

PENNSTATE

Background: Periodic and Nearly Periodic Structures

- Periodic structure examples: bladed-disks, space identical structures, satellite antenna, etc.
- Perfectly periodic structure (ideal case)
 - In a vibration mode, energy/amplitude are uniformly distributed and extended throughout the substructures
- Nearly (mistuned) periodic structure (in reality) -- small differences among substructures
 - When the coupling between substructures is weak, mistuning can cause vibration localization
 - Energy is confined in a small region increase amplitude and stress locally
 - Detrimental to structure health

Substructures

Vibration Localization

- The mistuned system is a small perturbation to the ideal case stiffness variation among substructures with standard deviation σ
- Modes are drastically changed !!

PENNSTATE





PENNSTATEBackground: VibrationLocalization Study

• Previous work focused on predicting and exploring the cause of vibration localization (Hodges, 1982; Mester and Benaroya, 1995; Pierre, et al., 1996; Slater, et al., 1999).

Little work has been done on reducing/eliminating vibration localization

- Modify the nominal mechanical stiffness of some of the substructures (Castanier and Pierre, 1997): not trivial to implement
- Shorting piezoelectric patches on blades to increase coupling (Agnes, 1999; Gordon and Hollkamp, 2000): improvement not obvious
- New method?



New Idea

Create *piezoelectric networks* to destroy localization (*delocalization*) by forming an additional strong wave channel

- Individual resonant piezoelectric shunts on local substructures can absorb vibration energy in electrical form;
- The resonant shunts are coupled through capacitors to create an additional electro-mechanical wave/energy channel with strong coupling → Localized energy can now propagate in electrical form.





- Easier to implement than mechanical tailoring
- Can achieve high performance through fully utilizing electrical network dynamics





System With or Without the Piezoelectric Circuits

Original Mechanical Structure (harmonic motion)

$$-\Omega^2 x_j + (1 + \Delta s_j) x_j + R_c^2 (x_j - x_{j-1}) + R_c^2 (x_j - x_{j+1}) = 0$$

 Δs_j : stiffness mistuning, R_c^2 : mechanical coupling

Mechanical Structure integrated with piezoelectric circuits $-\Omega^{2}x_{j} + (1 + \Delta s_{j})x_{j} + R_{c}^{2}(x_{j} - x_{j-1}) + R_{c}^{2}(x_{j} - x_{j+1}) + \delta\xi y_{j} = 0$ $-\Omega^{2}y_{j} + \delta^{2}y_{j} + R_{a}^{2}(y_{j} - y_{j-1}) + R_{a}^{2}(y_{j} - y_{j+1}) + \delta\xi x_{j} = 0$

- x_j : mechanical displacement
- y_j : electrical displacement (charge flow in the circuits)
- $\boldsymbol{\delta}$: tuning ratio related to circuitry inductance
- ξ : piezoelectric electro-mechanical coupling coefficient
- R_a^2 : coupling capacitance between circuits (electrical coupling)

Electromechanical Coupling Enhancement

Recent Observations

PENNSTATE

- Promising delocalization results via piezo-networking
- Treatment can be easily tuned via circuitry elements
- Effectiveness is limited by the level of *electromechanical coupling* of the piezo patch How much mechanical energy can be transferred?
 - Not strong enough to achieve global delocalization
 - Determined by the piezoelectric material property, size and location of patches, and stiffness of host structures
 - Difficult to vary via passive design

Electromechanical coupling of piezoelectric patches -- Bottle Neck ?!



PENNSTATE Electromechanical Coupling Enhancement -- New Idea

Utilizing an active coupling enhancement approach to increase the system electromechanical coupling \rightarrow improve the vibration delocalization performance of the piezoelectric networks

- The generalized electromechanical coupling coefficient (ξ) can be increased by *negative capacitance circuit*, added in series to the piezoelectric networks
- The negative ______Cneg capacitance can be realized using an op-amp based _______ negative impedance converter (NIC) circuit

$$\xi = \kappa / \sqrt{k(k_p - k_{nc})}$$

k: related to substructure mechanical stiffness κ : related to piezo material properties (e.g., d_{13}) k_p : electrical stiffness due to piezo capacitance k_{nc} : electrical stiffness change due to negative capacitance

Transfer Matrix and Wave Analysis

• Transfer matrix description for general periodic structures

$$0 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ mm \end{bmatrix} 2 \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ n \\ mm \end{bmatrix} n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ mm \end{bmatrix} V_j = T_j^m V_{j-1} V_j = \begin{cases} x_{j+1} \\ x_j \end{cases}$$

• Transfer matrix $\mathbf{T}_{j}^{m} = \begin{bmatrix} (1 - \Omega^{2} + \Delta s_{j} + 2R_{c}^{2}) / R_{c}^{2} & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

PENNSTATE

Amplitude after propagating through n substructures

$$\mathbf{v}_n = \prod_{j=n}^{\mathbf{I}} (\mathbf{T}_j^m) \mathbf{v}_0$$

• The average exponential decay is determined by the Lyapunov exponent

$$\gamma(\mathbf{v}_0) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left\| \mathbf{v}_n \right\| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left\| \prod_{j=n}^1 (\mathbf{T}_j^m) \mathbf{v}_0 \right\|$$

Lyapunov Exponents

Lyapunov exponent is a measure of the asymptotic exponential decay rate of the wave vector → each wave decays **spatially** at the rate of e^{-γk} (γ_k is the k-th L. exp.)
 — Localization index

PENNSTATE

- Perfectly periodic structure has frequency passband:
 Passband: L. exp. is zero → no decay (Vibration modes);
- When system has mistuning, there is no longer a passband
 Larger L. exp = more vibration
 localization





- Two L. exponents corresponding to two wave types
 - > In the double passband, the *upper branch* of L. exp. plot will be the worst case \rightarrow Localization Index
 - > In single passband range, the lower branch will govern the vibration modes \rightarrow Localization Index



- A wave incident is applied to mistuned system
- Both wave types decay at similar fashion, first at the large rate (upper branch), then at the small rate (lower branch)
- localization Index : upper bound of L. exp.



- Each wave decays at its respective L. exp.
- Lower branch L. exp. governs the mode shape
- Localization index: Lower bound of L. exp.



Green lines – localization index

• The worst case is the mode in the double passband with the largest L. exp.

System with Negative Capacitance



PENNSTATE



- With negative capacitance, the double passband is evolved into two passbands
- The worst cases for mode localization are the modes at the edge of the passbands

PENNSTATE System with Negative Capacitance

With the design of negative capacitance,

- Electromechanical coupling coefficient can be increased
- Localization index is reduced

\rightarrow Modes are less localized with active coupling enhancement



 Vibration localization is obviously reduced with the introduction of piezoelectric network and further greatly improved with negative capacitance



| PennState | Bladed Disk and PZT Patch |
|------------------------------|--|
| 11/ | Dimensions (unit : inch) |
| | Material: aluminum alloy Total diameter: 12 Inner (hole) diameter of the hub disk: 1.5 Outer diameter of the hub disk: 3.5 Blade number, length, width, and thickness: 18; 4.25; 0.305; 0.125 |
| PZT Geometry (unit: inch) | PZT Material Property |
| Length: 1.0 | Piezoelectric material: Type 5A Relative dielectric constant K ^T 1750 |
| Width:0.30 | Electromechanical coupling factor k ₃₁ : 0.36 Piezoelectric charge constant: 175*10 ⁻¹² (C/N or |
| Thickness:0.04 | m/V) Young's modulus :6.3*10 ¹⁰ (N/m ²) |





- : system without piezoelectric network;
- system with piezoelectric network but without negative capacitance;
- **•** : system with piezoelectric network and with negative capacitance;

Vibration localization can be effectively reduced via piezoelectric networking and further improved by negative capacitance circuits



Standard Deviations of Blade Amplitudes



<u>Case 1</u>: system without piezoelectric network <u>Case 2</u>: system with piezoelectric network but w/o negative capacitance <u>Case 3</u>: system with piezoelectric network w/ negative capacitance

Blade amplitude distribution is more uniform with piezoelectric network, and is further improved by the addition of negative capacitance

PENNSTATE

- Piezoelectric networking can be utilized for different types of structural control enhancement -- vibration energy absorption, dissipation, and redistribution
 - General modal damping and control
 - Adaptable narrowband disturbance rejection
 - Vibration delocalization
- Effective structural control can be achieved through electrical tailoring of the piezoelectric networks
 - With careful design, active-passive hybrid networks could outperform purely active and passive systems
 - > Better performance than both
 - Less control effort, robust, and failsafe than purely active systems
 Structural Dynamics and Controls Lab

